ase 3:16-cr-01408-WQH - Document 170 Filed 07/12/18 PageID.1493 Page 1 of ORIGINAL FILED JUL 1 2 2018 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA January 2016 Grand Jury Case No. 16 CR 1408 WQH UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, INDICIMENT ; Title 26, U.S.C., ROBERT HOLCOMB, Sec. 7206(2) - Aiding or Assisting in the Preparation of False Defendant. Returns; Title 18, U.S.C., Sec. 1014 - Making a False Statement to a Financial

Institution;

; Title 18, U.S.C.,

Sec. 1343 - Wire Fraud; Title 18, U.S.C., Secs. 981(a)(1)(C) and

982(a)(2), and Title 28, U.S.C., Sec. 2461(c) - Criminal Forfeiture

14

16

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Ct : PRETRIA

27

DEZ:nlv:San Diego:6/14/16

The grand jury charges that:

### INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS

At all times pertinent to this Indictment:

- The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) is an agency of the United States Department  $\circ f$ the Treasury responsible for administering and enforcing the tax laws of the United States.
- The IRS is responsible for ensuring that each and every citizen pays their fair share of any tax that is due and owing.

ase 3:16-cr-01408-WQH Document 170 Filed 07/12/18 PageID.1494 Page 2 of

1

The federal income tax system of the United States relies 3. 2 upon citizens to truthfully, accurately, and timely report income and expense information to the IRS.

### Counts 1-5

# Aiding or Assisting in the Preparation of False Returns, 26 U.S.C. § 7206(2)

- 6. Paragraphs 1 through 3 of the Introductory Allegations are incorporated as if set forth in full herein.
- 7. On or about the dates set forth below, within the Southern District of California and elsewhere, defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel, and advise the preparation of and presentation to the IRS, the tax returns set forth below: to wit, defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB created numerous corporations and trust accounts, instructed his clients to forward him funds into these accounts, and then falsely instructed his clients that they were not responsible for reporting as income any of the funds they forwarded to him. This resulted in defendant's clients filing tax returns, each of which was false and fraudulent as to a material matter, in that each tax return represented that the income of each client was substantially lower than the true amount of taxable income, and defendant knew that the income claimed was not true and correct:

Count	Date Tax Return Filed	Tax Return	Taxpayer
1	May 20, 2011	2009 1120	P.H.C.
2	May 17, 2011	2009 1040	K.C.
3	Sept. 13, 2011	2010 1120	G.B.
4	April 13, 2012	2010 1120	P.H.C.
5	April 13, 2012	2010 1040	K.C.

All in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).

28 | //

Count 6\_

# Making a False Statement to a Financial Institution 18 U.S.C. § 1014

- 8. Paragraphs 1 through 3 of the Introductory Allegations are incorporated as if set forth in full herein.
- 9. On or about May 16, 2011, within the Southern District of California, defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB did knowingly make a false statement for the purpose of influencing the action of One West Bank, an institution the accounts of which were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, in connection with an application for a checking account, in that defendant provided a taxpayer identification number for the corporation "Push the Rock LLC," that defendant well knew did not actually belong to the corporation; all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1014.

## Count 7\_\_

# Making a False Statement to a Financial Institution 18 U.S.C. § 1014

- 10. Paragraphs 1 through 3 of the Introductory Allegations are incorporated as if set forth in full herein.
- 11. On or about May 17, 2011, within the Southern District of California, defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB did knowingly make a false statement for the purpose of influencing the action of First Republic Bank, an institution the accounts of which were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, in connection with an application for a checking account, in that defendant provided a taxpayer identification number for the corporation "On Eagles Wings LLC," that defendant well knew did not actually belong to the corporation; all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1014.

//

1

2

#### Count 8\_\_

# 18 U.S.C. § 1014

3 4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# Making a False Statement to a Financial Institution

- 12. Paragraphs 1 through 3 of the Introductory Allegations are incorporated as if set forth in full herein.
- 13. On or about May 21, 2011, within the Southern District of California, defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB did knowingly make a false statement for the purpose of influencing the action of Point West Bank, an institution the accounts of which were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, in connection with application for a checking account, in that defendant provided a taxpayer identification number for the corporation "Light of Life LLC," that defendant well knew did not actually belong to the corporation; all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1014.

### Count 9

### Making a False Statement to a Financial Institution 18 U.S.C. § 1014

- 14. Paragraphs 1 through 3 of the Introductory Allegations are incorporated as if set forth in full herein.
- 15. On or about July 26, 2011, within the Southern District of California, defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB did knowingly make a false statement for the purpose of influencing the action of Commerica Bank, an institution the accounts of which were insured by the Deposit Insurance Corporation, in Federal connection with application for a checking account, in that defendant provided a taxpayer identification number for the corporation "Sharing and Caring LLC," that defendant well knew did not actually belong to the corporation; all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1014.

## Counts 10-14

#### Wire Fraud 18 U.S.C. § 1343

17. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 3.

#### The Scheme

18. Beginning in approximately 1999, and continuing through at least February 2015, within the Southern District of California, defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB did knowingly devise and intend to devise, with the intent to defraud, a material scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by the omission of material facts. The purpose and effect of the scheme was to defraud the United States, by attempting to fraudulently conceal the income of HOLCOMB's clients, by evading HOLCOMB's and his clients' income tax liability, and by defrauding the United States in its revenue collecting capacity.

#### Method and Means

19. It was the method and means of the scheme that defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB would falsely assure his clients that he was responsible for the taxes on the funds forwarded to his accounts, and

those funds could be properly excluded from the income his clients reported on their federal tax returns.

- 20. It was further part of the scheme that defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB would charge his clients a commission ranging from approximately six percent to approximately eleven percent of the total amount of funds they sent to his "trust" accounts.
- 21. It was further part of the scheme that defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB would not actually pay any taxes on the funds sent to his "trust" accounts.
- 22. It was further part of the scheme that defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB would create shell corporations in order to open bank accounts at multiple financial institutions to be used for receiving client funds, returning the funds minus his commission, and concealing his profits from the scheme.
- 23. It was further part of the scheme that defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB would provide false taxpayer identification numbers when he opened bank accounts used in the scheme in order to avoid reporting requirements with the IRS.
- 24. It was further part of the scheme that defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB would cause clients to send him funds, via interstate wire transmission and other means, and he would then transfer the remaining funds back to the clients minus his commission, using the shell corporation bank accounts he controlled.
- 25. It was further part of the scheme that defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB would provide false and misleading financial schedules and other information to his clients, knowing that they would incorporate this false information into their federal income tax returns, provide

2

3

4

5

6

7

27

28

this false information to the IRS, and defraud the United States in its revenue collecting capacity.

#### Wire Transmissions in Furtherance of the Scheme

26. On or about the dates provided below, within the Southern District of California and elsewhere, defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB, in furtherance of the above-described scheme, transmitted and caused to be transmitted by means of wire communication in interstate commerce certain writings, signs, and signals, for the purpose of executing such scheme:

10	r-					
	Count	Date	Wire Transmission	Recipient		
11	10	August	Interstate wire transfer of	Robert E. Holcomb,		
12		23, 2011	\$30,000 from Hands on for Health LLC, Wells Fargo Bank	dba Magenta Persimmon, dba		
13				Restoration of Life, dba BKM,		
14		<u>-</u>		CommerceWest Bank		
15	11	September 27, 2011	Interstate wire transfer of \$40,000 from Brother's Keeper	Seed Time and Harvest, Key Bank		
16		·	Ministries LLC, First Citizens Bank			
17						
18	12	January 24, 2012	Interstate wire transfer of \$84,000 from Brother's Keeper	Light of Life LLC, Pointwest Bank		
19			Ministries LLC, First Citizens Bank			
20	13	February	Interstate wire transfer of	On Eagle's Wings,		
21		29, 2012	\$20,000 from Brother's Keeper Ministries LLC, First Citizens	First Republic Bank		
22			Bank			
23	14	November 19, 2013	Interstate wire transfer of \$25,800 from Robert E.	Light of Life LLC, Pointwest Bank		
24			Holcomb, dba Magenta Persimmon, dba Restoration of Life, dba BKM, CommerceWest			
25			Bank			
26				***************************************		

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

#### FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

- 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C), 982(a)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)
- 27. The allegations contained in Counts <sup>6</sup> through <sup>9</sup> of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture to the United States pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2).
- 28. Upon conviction of the offense of making a false statement to a financial institution in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1014, as set forth in Counts 6 through 9 of this Indictment, defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB, shall forfeit to the United States of America, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2), all property, real and personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the offense.
- 29. The allegations contained in Counts 10 through 14 of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture to the United States pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
- 30. Upon conviction of the offense of Wire Fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343 set forth in Counts 10 through 14 of this Indictment, defendant ROBERT HOLCOMB, shall forfeit to the United States of America, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), all property, real and personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the offense. The property to be forfeited includes, but is not limited to, a forfeiture money judgment in an amount not less than the sum of \$1,000,000,

27 I

representing the funds HOLCOMB obtained from his clients as part of the fraudulent scheme.

- 31. If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:
  - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
  - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
  - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without difficulty;
- the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
- All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(C), 982(a)(2), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

DATED: June 16, 2016.

Foreperson

A TRUE BILL

LAURA E. DUFFY United States Attorney

24 By:

5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

DANIEL E. ZIPP

Assistant U.S. Attorney

26

27

28